FOURTH DAY.

Saturday, 4th October 1941

The House reassembled at the Jagan Mohan Palace Pavilion on Saturday, 4th October 1941, at 2 of the clock, Mr. President (*Rajamantrapravina* N. Madhava Rao, Dewan) in the Chair.

Results of Election to the House Committee.

Before questions were taken up, the President announced that the following members had been elected to the House Committee of the Assembly:—

1. Mr. B. C. Nanjundiah
2. ,, K. Chickalingiah
3. ,, K. Hanumanthiah
4. Sri Sunandamma
5. Mr. A. N. Rama Rao
6. ,, M. N. Jois
7. ,, B. M. Venugopala Setty
8. ,, S. N. M. Razvi.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS—*contd.*

Health of Sub-Assistant Surgeons in Municipalities.

Q.—146. **Patel NADIPI REDDY** (Gudibanda—Rural).—

Will the Government be pleased to state whether they have ascertained that the Sub-Assistant Surgeons that are working in various major and minor municipalities and other towns and cities are hale and healthy?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT** (Mr. V. Vedavyasacharya).—

Yes.

Decrease in the Population of certain Taluks within the last Decennium.

Q.—147. **Lokasevaniratha Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY** (Nominated).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the population as per census of 1931 of people belonging to Krishnarajanagar, Krishnarajapet, Hunsur and Mysore Taluks and other round about villages which have been separately built, and of villages built round about those which have been submerged under water on account of Krishnarajasagara;

(*b*) the population as per census of 1941?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT** (Mr. V. Vedavyasacharya).—

(*a*) Population figures in:—

	1931	*1941*
Krishnarajanagar	93,572	100,605
Krishnarajapet* ...	116,817	101,395
Hunsur ...	64,659	74,674
Mysore ...	76,669	87,579

(*b*) Names of villages in respect of which information is required may be intimated.

* Some villages which were in this taluk in 1931 have been transferred to French-Rocks Taluk since last census and hence the decrease in the population of Krishnarajapet Taluk in 1941.

Mr. Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY.—From the figures furnished in the answer, an increase in the population of the taluks during the decennium is noticeable. Am I to understand that this excludes the number of people who died of malaria in the taluks?

Mr. V. VEDAVYASACHARYA.—That is not a supplementary question.

Mr. Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY.—May I know how many died of malaria in the said period?

Mr. V. VEDAVYASACHARYA.—This supplmentary question does not arise.

Mr. Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY.—Are the Government aware that many are dying of malaria in the said taluks?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—The seriousness of the situation in the area has been referred to in my Opening Address. The question of bringing it under control is under the consideration of Government.

Mr. M. SUBBIAH.—Will lands be acquired to provide sites for the people whose lands have been submerged with a view to enabling them to build houses?

Mr. V. VEDAVYASACHARYA.—That does not arise out of the main question.

Electrification of Nangli and Byrakur Villages.

Q.—154. **Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA** (Mulbagal—Rural).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) when and how many times the estimates for the electrification of Nangli and Byrakur villages of Mulbagal Taluk had been prepared;

(*b*) the expected percentage of profit according to this estimate;

(*c*) the reason for not sanctioning this estimate till now;

(*d*) why is it that instead of executing the works according to the or ginally sanctioned estimate, the estimate recently prepared was given effect to;

(*e*) the reason for non-sanction of the estimate prepared for Nangli and Byrakur;

(*f*) whether they will at least now sanction this estimate and see to the electrification of Nangli and Byrakur villages?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. G. Mehkri).**—

(*a*) In 1939—twice.

(*b*) There is no profit in prospect. On the other hand the scheme will result in a loss of about 4 per cent on the capital cost.

(*c*) On account of its unremunerative character.

(*d*) Does not arise as no estimate has been sanctioned by Government.

(*e*) *Vide* reply to (*c*) above.

(*f*) The question will be examined again.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—Was no estimate prepared before 1939?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—No.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—May I know why two estimates were prepared in 1939?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—The first estimate required revision in certain respects and hence a second estimate was prepared.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—What was the amount of the first estimate?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—Rs. 24,784.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—What was the revenue expected to be derived from the scheme?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—Rs. 2,543.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—What was the amount of the second estimate?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—Rs. 29,000.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—May I know the basis on which the scheme is considered unremunerative?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—Taking into consideration the cost of maintenance, establishment charges, provision for depreciation, interest on capital investment, etc., the scheme is found to be unremunerative.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—Are all the above items taken into consideration usually in the estimates for other similar schemes?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—Yes.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—What is the return expected from electrical schemes generally?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—Generally 10 per cent. But that is not insisted upon in all cases. In the present case, providing for interest on capital, depreciation, establishment charges, etc., not even 4 per cent return can be expected.

Mr. P. V. NARAYANA GOWDA.—Will the estimate be sanctioned in the near future?

Mr. M. G. MEHKRI.—I cannot say that. But I can say that the question will be examined again.

Mr. H. KRISHNAMURTHYACHAR.—Have the concerned local bodies been